



Next-Generation Datacenter MV Interfaces — Will Solid-State Transformers Meet Their Waterloo?

Johann W. Kolar | Jonas E. Huber

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zurich Power Electronic Systems Laboratory www.pes.ee.ethz.ch

Industry Session 3.3

High Performance Power Electronics for High Performance Computing

Outline



► Introduction

AC or DC Power Distribution
 Medium-Voltage AC/DC Interfaces
 Comparative Evaluation

Conclusions

Global Data Center Electricity Demand

- **Datacenters Consume** \approx 200 TWh/a | \approx 1% of Global Electricity Demand
- Energy Costs Dominate Overall Life-Cycle Costs



- Decoupling of Computing Workload & Energy Use
- Efficiency Improvements on All Levels Computing Equipment | HVAC | ... | Power Conversion System

AC Power Distribution

■ State-of-the-Art Distribution — 400V AC



Increased Distribution Voltage — 690VAC



- η_d → Distribution Efficiency for 1MW Using Delta EcoTech BL 200A Busbar
 η_Σ → Overall Efficiency MVAC Down to Rack-Level DC/DC Conversion

Integration of Renewables — **DC Power Distribution**

■ 690VAC



- **DC Distribution** Leverages DC Output of PV / Batteries / Fuel-Cells Remark Utility-Scale Renewable Energy System Requires Higher Voltage DC Collector Grid

DC Power Distribution

- $\bullet 400VAC \rightarrow \pm 400VDC (800VDC)$
- Utilizing Same Busbars as for AC Distribution (Delta EcoTech BL @ 60°C)



- -75% Distribution Losses OR -75% Copper Mass
- DC Distribution Challenges Protection | DC Breakers etc.

Comparison of AC and DC Power Distribution





3-Ф 13.2kV / 400kW SST-Based EV Charger

- Most Advanced Industrial SST Prototype (US DOE Project 2018 2021)
 3 x 9 = 27 AC/DC DC/DC Cells → 438 Switches
 Forced-Air Cooling



• 15 kW Cells ($\approx 0.5 \text{ kW/dm}^3$) / All-SiC Realization | 100+ kHz MFT ($\approx 8.5 \text{ kW/dm}^3 \text{ w/o Bushing!}$)







3000kgs Weight | 3100 x 1300 x 2100 mm Outer Dimensions
 Power Density → 0.05kW/dm³ (System) | ≈ 0.5 kW/dm³ (Cells) | ≈ 8.5 kW/dm³ (MFT)

Modularization Penalty

Highly (!) Simplified Consideration





2-D Visualization with $d_{iso} = 0.2 R_0$

- High Number of Modules \rightarrow Massive Reduction of Overall Power Density Additional Overhead \rightarrow Input & Output Filters | Protection Equipment | Mech. Assembly | Cabinets etc.

3-Ф 15kV / 3.2 MVA SST-Based EV Charger

- Fully Modular Topology
- 25% Redundancy
- Zero Inrush Current
- 125 kV Impulse Basic Insulation Level w/o Arresters
- Simple Fuse & Disconn. Switch as MV-Grid Interface
- $1.5m \times 1.6 \times 2.4m$ Outer Dimensions $\rightarrow 0.5kW/dm^3$







"Synchronous Common Coupling"

- Efficiency Not Specified
- Insulation Type Not Specified (in Case of Oil \rightarrow Maintenance / Environmental Issues / Fire Hazard)





● Benefits of Modularity → Redundancy | Availability | Economy of Scale



■ MV Grid → Surges, Overvoltages, Short-Circuit Faults, etc.



• **Protection Requirements** \rightarrow Significant Impact on MV-Side Power Electronics Dimensioning

Industrial SSTs Performance

- Efficiency of Industrial Prototypes η ≈ 97.5 ... 98%
 Full System Power Density ρ ≈ 0.05 ... 0.5 kW/dm³



- Modularity → Redundancy / Reparability / Availability / Economy of Scale vs. Complexity
 HV SiC → Reduction of # of Modules (N < 5) | Protection & Robustness as Remaining Challenges

Low-Frequency Transformer & Si or SiC Low-Voltage AC/DC-Converter



3-Φ MV Power Station for Photovoltaics

- State-of-the-Art 3 MVA Turn-Key Solution
 20 ft Container PV Inverter | LFT | MV Switchgear
 6.1m x 2.6m x 2.4m → ≈ 0.08 kW/dm³



2.6 m

- Inverter CEC Efficiency = 98.5%
 Transformer Efficiency = 99% (EcoDesign, Oil-Filled)
 Overall Efficiency ≈ 97.5% → Improvements for SiC Inverter & Dry-Type Transformer → 98+%

Low-Frequ. Transf. & SiC Low-Voltage AC/DC Converter

- **Thought Experiment** AC/DC Stage Using Same Total Chip Area as Delta SST
- Conservative Assumption of η_{LFT} = 98.7% w/o Filters \rightarrow Overall η = 98.4%



• Still to be Analyzed — Parallel Interleaving of AC/DC Stages | Size & Losses of Add. Filters

State-of-the-Art Dry-Type LFT Technology

- 400kVA EcoDry[™] High-Efficiency Transformer Vacuum Cast Coils → No Fire Hazard Amorphous Metal Core → Low No-Load Losses High Overvoltage / Overload Capability 1.4m x 0.75m x 1.5m Outer Dimensions



1200V SiC MOSFETs





- Utilizing SiC MOSFETs in AC/DC Stage → η_{AC/DC} = 99+ % Efficiency
 Higher Efficiency / Power Density / Robustness Compared to SST (!)

Dry-Type LFT & \pm 400V DC vs. 690V AC Distribution

■ ± 400 V DC



■ 690 V AC



- **Reduced / No Advantages of DC Distribution** Adv. of DC for Integration of Renewables & Backup-Power (Diesel \rightarrow Fuel-Cells)



Partial Switch-Mode Power Processing

3-Φ12-Pulse / Multi-Pulse Rectifier

- **No Explicit PFC Stage (!)** \rightarrow Passive Realization of PFC Functionality with Phase-Shifting Transformer
- Low Complexity / High Robustness / High Efficiency 18-Pulse, 24-Pulse For High Power Levels



- *No Active Output Voltage Control (Tap-Changer) Remaining Current Distortion / Reactive Power Consumption*

3-Ф 12-Pulse Rectifier & Active Filter

- Hybridization / Partial Switch-Mode Power Processing
- Active Filter (ÁF) Module with 15...25% Rating \rightarrow Sinusoidal Grid Current / React. Power Comp. Partial Power Processing \rightarrow Reduces Impact on Overall Eff. $-\eta \approx 98\%$ with Standard Si Active Filter



- No DC-Side Inductors Required (!)
- Remaining Passive 12-Pulse Operation in Case of Active Filter Failure
- Efficiency Improvements with Dry-Type High-Eff. LFT & SiC Active Filter Connection of AF to Output DC-Bus \rightarrow Reverse Power Flow Capability



Comparative Evaluation

- Industrial AC/DC SST → No Volume / Efficiency / Functionality Adv. Over LFT + SiC AC/DC Conv.
- 690V AC & Dry-Type Transf. \rightarrow Competitive with \pm 400V DC Distribution
- 12-Pulse Rect. & Act. Filter → Low Complexity / High Robustness @ Reduced Functionality



• Add. Considerations on Integr. of Renewables / Fuel-Cell Back-Up Power / Grid Services etc.

Ecological Aspects

- LV Distribution Busbars Dominate the Installed Copper Mass
- 40 vs. 10 Years Lifetime of LFTs vs. SSTs
 Recyclability Advantage of LFTs



- Global Copper Usage Largely Dominated by Other Application Sectors Life-Cycle Assessments Cradle-to-Grave | Cradle-to-Cradle Still Missing

Outlook — SST Technology Learning Curve

- Learning Rate → Cost Reduction for Each Doubling of the Cumulative Production / Accumulated Experience
- Used for Prediction of Future Costs of a Technology (e.g. PV "Grid Parity") → Long Term Strategies



- Typ. Empirical Learning Rates of 15...25% ightarrow Dramatic Cost Reduction Over Longer Timespan
- Can SSTs / SST Modules Ever Become Cheaper than LFT-Based Solutions ? | Procurement vs. Life-Cycle \$\$\$?

Questions

